

Ranking Criteria	Points Available
Property is part of a mulit-property project (landowner collaborated with neighbors)	
Yes	10
No	0
Landowner resides on property and property is located in Feather Falls	
Yes	15
No	0
Resides on property but not in Feather Falls	7
Does not reside on property but lives in Feather Falls	3
Level of detial in proposed work maintenance plan	
Well-thought out, includes reasonable treatments performed by landowner. Dispays knowledge and preference of native species. Open to prescribed fire. Landonwer shows passion for land management	10
Dipslays an understanding of how treatment will age. Propses plan with satisfactory level of detial. Some knowledge and preference for native species recruitment. Landower shows commitment to managment	5
Mentions prescribed burn, herbicide, grazing or other non mechancial work to cut regrowth.	3
Relies on other programs to perform maintenance	2
One word answers	1
No answer	0
Income Level	
\$9,000 - 16,000	6
\$16,001 - 27,000	5
\$27,001 - 43,000	4
\$43,001 - 59,000	3
\$59,001 -70,000	2
\$70,001 - 130,000	0
No answer	0
Property size	
>20 ac.	1
<20 ac.	2
<15 ac.	3
<10 ac.	4

Ranking Criteria	Points Available
<5 ac.	5
Need as determined by field visit	
Severe - Landonwer lives on property and home is in danger due to current conditions	15
High - Landonwer lives on property and property contains hazards from tree fall and/or fire	10
Moderate - Landonwer does not live on property but property is strategically located / Need for mechanical treatment is high	10
Moderate- Current state of vegetation is preventing landonwer from returning or rebuilding. Log decks count.	5
Moderate- Landowner lives on property but need for management is low	5
Low- Landonwer does not reside on property, no stated plans to return. Managing for habitat, need for management is low	2
Need not apparent	0
Feasibility of work (property does not have to meet every detail within category) determiend in field	
Good access, mechancial work OK, moderate material loading, prescribed fire opporutnities	10
Moderate access, little to no mechanical work/hand work only, high material loading, pile burning OK	5
Poor access, all hand work with high material loading	2
Work not feasible with this program	0
Is the property a good fit for the PBA?	
Yes	10
No	0
Project is a good fit for other cost-share programs requring an FMP	
Yes	5
No	0
Special Circumstances preventing applicant from state-funded work?	
Yes	-50
No	0
Total Points	
	76